STANDARDS FOR WOUND MANAGEMENT



The Australian Wound Management Association Inc.

Australian Wound Management Association Inc.

Philosophy

The Australian Wound Management Association Inc. believe that all people with, or who are likely to develop a wound, are entitled to receive personalised care and management that is supported by current validated research.

This publication has been endorsed by Royal College of Nursing, Australia according to approved criteria.



Standards for Wound Management **1st edition, May 2002 Published by the Australian Wound Management Association Inc** ISBN 0-9579343-2-7

Copyright © Australian Wound Management Association Inc, 2002

All rights reserved

PREFACE

The Australian Wound Management Association Inc. is a multidisciplinary professional association for persons with an interest in wound healing and wound management. The objectives of the Australian Wound Management Association Inc. are to raise awareness of the science and art of wound healing and promote scientifically substantiated wound management practices.

The Standards presented in this publication provide a format for promoting best practice in wound management. Written standards of care provide a framework for clinical practice grounded in theory. It is envisaged that the Standards for Wound Management will be a valuable tool in clinical practice and in the development of policies, procedures and education programs. The aim of the Standards is to contribute towards a process for maintaining and improving quality care outcomes for persons with a wound or potential wound.

It is the vision of this Association that these Standards will be adopted by health professionals and health agencies across Australia and that the challenge associated with validating and refining the Standards for Wound Management will be taken up enthusiastically.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The Australian Wound Management Association Inc. Standards for Wound Management have been developed by a Sub-committee in consultation with the Association. An expression of gratitude is extended to the Sub-committee and in particular to Keryln Carville who Chaired the Committee and to Juliet Scott who acted as Secretary.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Western Australia Keryln Carville . Juliet Scott Tasmania . • Nancy Magazinovic Oueensland South Australia Judith Manning & Jenny Bradley . Jill Parke & Lorna MacLellan Australian Capital Territory Terry Swanson Victoria

Nancy Magazinovic (President AWMA)

CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
STANDARD 1	
COLLABORATIVE PRACTICE AND INTERDISCIPLINARY CARE	2
STANDARD 2	
PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE	4
STANDARD 3	
CLINICAL DECISION MAKING IN WOUND MANAGEMENT	7
STANDARD 4	
BEST PRACTICE IN WOUND HEALING	9
STANDARD 5	
DOCUMENTATION	13
STANDARD 6	
EDUCATION	14
STANDARD 7	
RESEARCH	16
REFERENCES	17
RIRI IOCDADHV	20
	20

INTRODUCTION

The Australian Wound Management Association Inc. Standards for Wound Management are intended to be reflective of best practice as defined in the literature and in the consensus opinions sought from expert wound clinicians, educators and researchers. The Standards are presented as a guide to practitioners, educators and researchers who desire to promote optimal outcomes in the care of individuals with wounds or potential for wounding. The Standards are intended to be broad, which allows for their flexible application in accord with the needs of individual disciplines and practice settings.

The performance criteria listed in this document are considered to be base criteria for achieving each stated Standard. However, individual health professionals and health agencies are at liberty to adapt the criteria in context for achieving each Standard according to the expectations of individual professional roles, practice settings, legislation governing practice and institutional requirements for determining a standard of care.

Wound healing and the development of standards of care are both dynamic processes. It is anticipated that regular reviews of these Standards will take place as scientific endeavours promote greater understanding of the phenomenon of wound healing and best practice in management. The Standards will be available on the AWMA website (www.awma.com.au) and comments and suggestions are welcome at any time.

The Standards do not promote or endorse specific products, devices or pharmaceuticals.

STANDARD 1 COLLABORATIVE PRACTICE AND INTERDISCIPLINARY CARE

The optimal healing of the individual with a wound or potential wound is promoted by a collaborative and interdisciplinary approach to wound management.

Collaborative practice includes evidence that the clinician:

1.1 Acknowledges the central role of the individual and their carer in wound management and relevant health care decisions ¹⁻⁴.

Performance Criteria

- The individual and their carer will be informed of the need and options for comprehensive and multidisciplinary assessment.
- The individual and their carer will be informed of assessment outcomes and involved in the decision making for potential care options.
- The individual and their carer will be provided with information and opportunities to encourage and facilitate their participation in planned care.
- **1.2** Establishes and maintains communication that facilitates interdisciplinary collaboration and coordination of care^{2, 5-7}.

Performance Criterion

• The clinician will liaise and maintain communication with the interdisciplinary team on a regular basis and when indicated by changes that impact on the individual, their wound or their healing environment.

1.3 Recognises the knowledge and skills of members of the interdisciplinary team^{2, 5-7}.

Performance Criteria

- The clinician acknowledges the need for a partnership in practice between interdisciplinary team members when assessing, planning or implementing care.
- The clinician will act as an advocate and negotiate on behalf of the individual or their carer to optimise access to the knowledge and skills of other health professionals when the individual or their carer so desire or when the clinical situations indicates a need.
- The clinician practices within the scope of their practice and level of expertise.

1.4 Respects the contribution of members of the interdisciplinary team ^{2, 5-7}.

Performance Criteria

- The clinician will advocate for evidence based practice to ensure optimum outcomes for the individual.
- The clinician will regularly liaise and negotiate with members of the interdisciplinary team in relation to the individual's planned care and care outcomes.

STANDARD 2 PROFESSIONAL PRACTICE

The safety and wound healing potential of the individual is ensured by clinical practice in wound management that respects and complies with legislation, codes of practice, clinical practice guidelines and organisational policies.

The clinician fulfils the obligations of the professional role and will:

2.1 Perform in accordance with legislation affecting their professional practice.

Performance Criterion

• The clinician will practice in accordance with legislation that determines their profession.

2.2 Comply with individual professional code of ethics.

Performance Criterion

• The clinician will practice in accordance with the professional code of practice as determined by their regulatory authority.

2.3 Practice within own abilities and qualifications and questions practices outside the scope of legislated practice.

- The clinician is accountable for their own clinical practice.
- The clinician is aware of limitations of scope of practice for regulated and non-regulated practice.

2.4 Endeavour to remain informed of evidence-based approaches to wound management⁸⁻¹⁰.

Performance Criterion

- The clinician will endeavour to remain informed of evidence-based practices via:
 - Professional forums
 - Educational forums
 - Clinical forums
 - Literature reviews
 - Media presentations.
- 2.5 Base own practice on best available evidence and questions non-evidence based practices ⁸⁻¹¹.

Performance Criterion

• The clinician utilises best available evidence to direct their clinical practice and maintain an optimal standard of wound management.

2.6 Contribute to the advancement of wound management knowledge⁸⁻¹¹.

Performance Criterion

- The clinician will contribute to the advancement of new knowledge and:
 - Identify potential areas for clinical research
 - Critically analyse relevant and available wound research findings.
 - Implement relevant research findings in clinical practice.

2.7 Manage resources effectively and efficiently¹²⁻¹⁶.

Performance Criteria

- The clinician will endeavour to remain informed of the availability, indications for use and cost of wound management products and devices.
- The clinician will use wound management dressings, pharmaceuticals and devices in accord with the manufacturer's instructions or research protocols.
- Assessment of the wound, the person and their healing environment will dictate the appropriate and cost-effective use of wound management products and resources.

2.8 Advocate for access and equity of appropriate wound management products, devices and resources ¹⁷.

Performance Criterion

• The clinician will endeavour to obtain, or advocate for, access and equity of appropriate wound management products, devices or resources as deemed necessary to promote wound healing.

STANDARD 3 CLINICAL DECISION MAKING IN WOUND MANAGEMENT

The optimal healing of the individual with a wound is facilitated by an ongoing process of clinical decision making in order to determine the risk of wounding, wound aetiology and would healing responses.

Clinical decision making includes evidence of:

3.1 A comprehensive assessment of the individual, their wound, their risk of wounding and healing environment ¹⁸⁻²⁴.

Performance Criteria

- The individual with a wound will receive a comprehensive assessment that reflects the intrinsic and extrinsic factors specific to each individual, and which have the potential to impact on wound healing or potential wounding.
- A wound assessment will be performed and result in documented evidence of:
 - Type of wound and aetiology of wounding
 - Location of the wound
 - Dimensions of the wound
 - Clinical appearance of the wound
 - Amount and type of exudate
 - Presence of infection, pain, odour or foreign bodies
 - State of surrounding skin and alterations in sensation.
 - Physiological implications of wounding to the individual
 - Psychosocial implications of wounding to the individual and significant others.

3.2 Ongoing assessments of wound healing progress ^{18, 25}.

Performance Criterion

• Ongoing assessments will be performed and documented and provide evidence of wound healing or deterioration in wound healing.

3.3 An individualised plan of care ^{18, 25, 26}.

Performance Criterion

- An individualised plan of care will be:
 - Documented in accordance with the individual's preference and assessment outcomes.
 - Reflective of ongoing assessments.
 - Used to guide optimal management.
 - Used to evaluate the effectiveness of treatments.

STANDARD 4 BEST PRACTICE IN WOUND HEALING

Wound management is practiced according to the best available evidence for optimising healing in acute or chronic wounds.

The clinician comprehends the importance of, and is able to:

4.1 Determine when an aseptic wound management technique is required if the individual, their wound and their healing environment is compromised ²⁷⁻³⁰.

Performance Criterion

• An aseptic wound technique using sterile equipment will be used when: the client is immunosuppressed, the wound enters a sterile body cavity (i.e. nephrostomy or central venous line), during the peri-operative period, or the wound healing environment is compromised.

4.2 Determine when a clean wound management technique is acceptable if the individual, their wound and their healing environment are not compromised ^{27, 31-34}.

Performance Criterion

• A clean wound management technique i.e. washing or showering of wounds, may be implemented when the criterion for Standard 4.1 is not demonstrated or when policies and procedures dictate.

4.3 Promote a moist wound environment unless the clinical goal is to maintain eschar in a dry and non-infected condition ^{18, 35-37}.

Performance Criterion

• Wound healing is facilitated in the presence of moisture therefore, moist wound healing principles will be maintained unless not clinically indicated. A clinical indication for maintaining dry eschar exists when there is insufficient blood flow to an affected body part to support infection control and wound healing.

4.4 Maintain a constant wound temperature consistent with optimal healing ³⁸⁻⁴³.

Performance Criteria

- It has been demonstrated that wound healing is retarded when the wound temperature decreases one degree Celsius, therefore the clinician will:
 - Avoid exposing the wound to cooling temperatures or appliances.
 - Avoid leaving wounds exposed for lengthy periods.
 - Use wound cleansing solutions at body temperature.
 - Provide advice on interventions, appropriate for maintaining core body and skin temperature such as the wearing of warm clothing and the maintenance of a stable and comfortable environmental temperature.
- It has been demonstrated that increases of one degree Celsius in skin temperature can compromise skin integrity in individuals at risk of pressure ulceration. Therefore the clinician will:
 - Avoid overheating with clothing, bed linen or heating devices.
 - Avoid or limit skin contact with plastic bed protection covers and plastic lined garments.
 - Ensure adequate hydration.
 - Provide advice on interventions appropriate for maintaining core body and skin temperature such as removal of excess garments or bed linen and maintenance of a stable and comfortable environment temperature.

4.5 Maintain an acidic pH in the wound consistent with healing ³⁹⁻⁴⁵.

Performance Criterion

- The skin has an acid mantle that ranges between a pH of 4 and 6.8. Wound healing is promoted when the skin and wound pH is maintained at a slightly acidic pH. The clinician will:
 - Avoid the use of alkaline soaps and cleansers.
 - Avoid leaving the wounds exposed for lengthy periods.

4.6 Maintain a bacterial balance in the wound consistent with optimal healing ⁴⁵⁻⁴⁸.

Performance Criterion

- The clinician will observe infection control principles and reduce the potential risk of wound infection by:
 - Performing adequate hand washing.
 - Using non-sterile or sterile gloves as deemed relevant for practice when there is a risk of contamination to the individual or clinician.
 - Assessing for the clinical signs and symptoms of clinical infection.
 - Performing qualitative or quantitative diagnostic investigations when clinically indicated to determine a definitive diagnosis of clinical infection.
 - Appropriately managing clinical infection.

4.7 Protect the fragile wound environment^{18, 40, 49-55}.

Performance Criterion

- The clinician will endeavour to protect the fragile wound environment by:
 - Avoiding aggressive wound cleansing unless the goal of care is mechanical debridement.
 - Avoiding the use of devices that desiccate or traumatise the wound bed or surrounding skin.
 - Avoiding known or suspected toxic agents or allergens.

- Protecting the wound and peri-wound area from trauma and maceration.
- Removing foreign bodies from the wound.
- Avoiding packing a sinus where the depth of the sinus tracking cannot be determined without further investigation.
- Ensuring that any packing or drainage tube inserted into a sinus must be in one continuous piece and remains visible and secure at the wound surface.

4.8 Ensure the integrity of wound management products and devices ⁵⁶.

Performance Criterion

- Maintain the integrity of wound management products and devices by:
 - Appropriate and secure storage of dressing products.
 - Changing dressing or appliances as frequently as required to effectively remove excessive exudate or infected material.
 - Using appropriate dressings or appliances to contain anticipated amounts of exudate.

4.9 Use products and devices in accordance with licensing acts and/or regulatory bodies and manufacturer guidelines ⁵⁶.

Performance Criteria

- All wound management products, devices and pharmaceuticals used in Australia should have Therapeutic Goods Administration endorsement unless they are used as a component of a research protocol with appropriate ethical approval.
- The clinician will use wound management dressings, pharmaceuticals and devices in accord with the manufacturer's instructions or research protocols.

STANDARD 5 DOCUMENTATION

Documentation in the individual's record or management plan must facilitate communication and continuity of care between interdisciplinary team members and fulfil legal requirements ⁵⁷⁻⁶².

Documentation includes evidence of:

5.1 Informed consent ⁵⁷⁻⁶¹.

Performance Criterion

• The individual and or their carer will be provided with information relating to proposed assessment and planned care options in a manner that is considerate of their age, cognitive status and cultural preferences and which will facilitate their understanding and informed consent to assessment and planned care.

5.2 A comprehensive and legible record ^{62, 63}.

Performance Criterion

- The clinician will maintain a comprehensive and legible record of the:
 - Individual's clinical history in relation to the wound and wounding
 - Aetiology of the wound
 - Assessment and management plan
 - Implementation strategies
 - Ongoing evaluation of treatments.

STANDARD 6 EDUCATION

Education of the individual and their carers should facilitate better health seeking behaviours. The clinician maximises opportunities for advancing self knowledge and skills in wound management.

The individual's tissue integrity will be promoted by:

6.1 The wound management clinician determining and facilitating the learning needs of the individual and/or their carer in regard to the promotion of wound prevention and wound healing⁶⁴⁻⁶⁷.

Performance Criteria

The clinician will:

- Provide relevant information to individuals and/or their carer for the prevention of wounding and promotion of wound healing so that individuals may participate in, and share responsibility for, their own wound prevention, wound healing and rehabilitation.
- Endeavour to meet the learning needs of individuals and carers in a manner that is sensitive to age, cognitive status, intellect, cultural and socio-economic status.
- Maximise opportunities for teaching and learning for the individual and/or their carer.
- Determine the understanding and ability of the individual for independent care and rehabilitation.
- When possible initiate and/or contribute to professional or community activities designed to promote wound prevention and wound healing.
- Act as a positive role model for health promoting behaviours.

The wound management clinician will:

6.2 Recognise their own learning needs and maximise opportunities for advancing knowledge and skills in wound management ⁶⁸⁻⁷².

Performance Criteria

The clinician will:

- Maximise opportunities for learning in relation to wound management and the promotion of wound healing.
- Assess personal learning needs in regard to wound management and remain current in theories, practices and technological advances pertaining to wound prevention and the promotion of wound healing.
- Apply scientific principles for wound management and be able to state the rationale for interventions and anticipated outcomes in wound healing and rehabilitation.
- Act as a positive role model to other members of the interdisciplinary team.
- Share knowledge and skills with other members of the interdisciplinary team.
- Maintain or improve own teaching skills and use available resources effectively.

STANDARD 7 RESEARCH

Wound healing is a dynamic process, and the clinician must anticipate that wound management practices will change, as new scientific evidence becomes available.

The clinician demonstrates an understanding of:

7.1 The importance of utilising research and evidence-based practice ^{73, 74}.

Performance Criterion

- The clinician will endeavour to implement wound management practices based on credible research findings or best practice.
- 7.2 The clinician endeavours to follow credible guidelines for research such as guidelines produced by the Australian National Health & Medical Research Council and adheres to agency, institutional or educational facility guidelines for obtaining ethics approval and undertaking research studies⁷⁵⁻⁷⁷.

Performance Criteria

The clinician will:

- Identify potential wound healing or management areas for clinical research.
- Demonstrate an understanding of research methods.
- Critique relevant studies and findings before applying the findings to clinical practice.
- Participate in research when applicable.
- Implement research findings within clinical practice.

REFERENCES

- Mohr JJ, Mahoney CC, Nelson EC, Batalden PB & Plume SK. Improving Health Care, Part 3: Clinical Benchmarking for Best Patient Care. Journal of Quality Improvement 1996; Sept 22 (9): 599-616
- Spencer J, Widdows C. Implementation of patient-held records in diabetic foot care. Journal of Wound Care 2000; 9(2):64-66.
- 3. Rountree R. The practice of the provider as a partner. Ostomy/Wound Management 1997; 43(9):42-56.
- 4. Gardner G. The walking wounded. Primary Intention 1996; 4(4):5-8.
- Baranoski S, Salzberg CA, Staley MJ, Thomas DR & Ayello EA. Obstacles and opportunities for the multidisciplinary wound care team. A report for the clinical symposium on wound management. Advanced Wound Care 1998; March-April: 11 (2): 85-88
- Valdes A, Angderson C & Giner J. A multidisciplinary, therapy-based, team approach for efficient and effective wound healing: A retrospective study. Ostomy/Wound Management 1999; 45(6):30-36.
- Tomaselli N & Granick M. Team approach to a wound care program. In: Bryant R (Ed). Acute & Chronic Wounds. St Louis: Mosby, 2000:495-502.
- 8. Harding K. Evidence and wound care: what is it? Journal of Wound Care 2000; 9(4):188.
- Newman M, Papadopoulos I & Sigsworth J. Barriers to evidence-based practice. Clinical Effectiveness in Nursing 1998; 2(1):11-20.
- Orsted H, Campbell K & Keast D. Clinical practice guidelines, algorithms, and standards: Tools to make evidencebased practice available and user friendly. In: Krasner D, Rodeheaver G & Sibbald R (Eds). Chronic Wound Care: A Clinical Source Book for Healthcare Professionals (3rd Ed). Wayne, PA: HMP Communications, 2001:209-17.
- 11. Baxter H. Understanding research: 3 Critiquing findings and conclusions. Journal of Wound Care 2001; 10(9):376-9.
- 12. Phillips T. Cost effectiveness in wound care. Ostomy/Wound Management 1996; 42(1):56-9.
- Bolton L, van Rijswijk L & Shaffer F. Quality wound care equals cost-effective wound care: A clinical model. Advances in Wound Care 1997; 10(4):33-8.
- 14. Carr L, Phillips Z & Posnett J. Comparative cost-effectiveness of four-layer bandaging in the treatment of venous leg ulceration. Journal of Wound Care 1999; 8(5):243-8.
- 15. Franks P. What do we mean by cost effective wound care? EWMA Journal 2001; 1(1):25-27.
- 16. Khachemoune A & Phillips T. Cost effectiveness in wound care. In: Krasner D, Rodeheaver G & Sibbald R (Eds). Chronic Wound Care: A Clinical Source Book for Healthcare Professionals (3rd Ed). Wayne, PA: HMP Communications, 2001:191-8.
- 17. Australian Wound Management Association Inc. Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Prediction and Prevention of Pressure Ulcers; West Leederville, WA: Cambridge Publishing, 2001.
- 18. Carville K. Wound Care Manual. 4th Edition. Perth: Silver Chain Nursing Association, 2001.
- Armstrong DG, Lavery LA & Harkless LB. Validation of a diabetic wound classification system. Diabetes Care 1998; 21 (5): 855-859
- 20. Benbow M. Intrinsic factors affecting the management of chronic wounds. British Journal of Nursing 1995; 4(7): 407-410
- 21. Benbow M. Parameters of wound assessment. British Journal of Nursing 1995; 4(11): 647-651.
- 22. Flanagan M. A practical framework for wound assessment 1: Physiology. British Journal of Nursing 1996; 5(22):1391-7.
- 23. Flanagan M. A practical framework for wound assessment 2: Methods. British Journal of Nursing 1997; 6(1):6-11.
- 24. Cooper D. Assessment, measurement, and evaluation: Their pivotal roles in wound healing. In: Bryant R. (Ed). Acute & Chronic Wounds. St Louis: Mosby, 2000:51-83.
- 25. Bale S & Jones V. Wound Care Nursing: A Patient-centred Approach. London: Bailliere Tindall, 1997:3-46.
- van Rijswijk L. Wound assessment and documentation. In: Krasner D, Rodeheaver G, Sibbald R. (Eds). Chronic Wound Care: A Clinical Source Book for Healthcare Professionals (3rd Ed). Wayne, PA: HMP Communications, 2001:101-15.

- 27. Fernandez R, Griffiths R & Ussia C. Wound cleansing: which solution, what technique? Primary Intention 2001; 9(2):51-58.
- 28. Heggers J. Defining infection in chronic wounds: does it matter? Journal of Wound Care 1998; 7(8):389-92.
- 29. Bale S & Leaper D. Acute wounds. In: Bale S, Harding K, Leaper D. An Introduction to Wounds 2000; London: Emap Healthcare Ltd., 75-87.
- Crow S. Infection control perspectives. In: Krasner D, Rodeheaver G, Sibbald R. (Eds). Chronic Wound Care: A Clinical Source Book for Healthcare Professionals (3rd Ed). Wayne, PA: HMP Communications, 2001:357-67.
- Angeras MH, Brandberg, FA & Seeman T. Comparison between sterile saline tap water for the cleaning of acute traumatic soft tissue wounds. European Journal of Surgery 1992;158: 347-350.
- 32. Faller NA. Clean versus sterile: a review of the literature. Ostomy/Wound Management 1999; 45(5):56-8, 60, 62.
- 33. Hollingworth H. Using a non-sterile technique in wound care. Professional Nurse 1998; 13(4) : 226-229.
- 34. Stotts N, Barbour S, Griggs K, Bouvier B, Buhlman, Wipke-Tevis D & Williams D. Sterile versus clean technique in postoperative wound care of patients with open surgical wounds: A pilot study. Journal of Wound, Ostomy & Continence Nursing 1997; 24:10.
- 35. Winter G. Formation of the scab and the rate of epithelialization of superficial wounds in the skin of the domestic pig. Nature 1962; 193:293-4.
- Field C & Kerstein M. Overview of wound healing in a moist environment. The American Journal of Surgery 1994; 167(1A Suppl):2S-6S.
- Bolton L, Monte K & Pirone L. Moisture and healing: beyond the jargon. Ostomy/Wound Management 2000; 46(1A Suppl):51-64.
- Lock P. The effects of temperature on mitotic activity at the edge of experimental wounds. Lock Laboratories Research Paper 1979; Chatham, Kent: Lock Laboratories.
- Hermanns M. An overview of physiological aspects of occlusive and non-occlusive dressings. Primary Intention 1995; 3 (2):8-13.
- 40. Rolstad B, Ovington L & Harris A. Principles of wound management. In: Bryant R. (Ed). Acute & Chronic Wounds. St Louis: Mosby, 2000:85-112.
- West J. Wound healing in the surgical patient: Influence of the perioperative stress response on perfusion. AACN Clinical Issues 1990; 1(3):595-601.
- Fisher S, Szymke T, Apte S & Kosiak M. Wheelchair cushion effect on skin temperature. Arch. Phys Med Rehabilitation 1978; 59:68-72.
- Knox D, Anderson T & Anderson P. Effects of different turn intervals on skin of healthy older adults. Advances in Wound Care 1994; 7(1):48-56.
- Byers P, Ryan P, Regan M, Shields A & Carta S. Effects of incontinence care cleansing regimes on skin integrity. Journal of Wound, Ostomy & Continence Nursing 1995; 22(4):187-192.
- 45. Hill M. Skin Disorders. St Louis: Mosby; 1994.
- Robson M. Infection in the surgical patient: An imbalance in the normal equilibrium. Clinics in Plastic Surgery 1979; 6(4):493-503.
- Robson M, Stenberg B & Heggers J. Wound healing alterations caused by infection. Clinics in Plastic Surgery 1990; 17(3):485-92.
- Dow G. Infection in chronic wounds. In: Krasner D, Rodeheaver G, Sibbald R. (Eds). Chronic Wound Care: A Clinical Source Book for Healthcare Professionals (3rd Ed). Wayne, PA: HMP Communications, 2001:343-56.
- 49. Barr J. Physiology of healing: the basis for the principles of wound management. Medsurg Nursing 1995; 4(5):387.
- 50. Collier M. Hollinworth H. Pain and tissue trauma during dressing change. Nursing Standard 2000; 14(40):71-73.
- 51. Cutting K. The causes and prevention of maceration of the skin. Journal of Wound Care 1999; 8(4):200-201.
- 52. Dealy C. Using protective wipes under adhesive tapes. Journal of Wound Care 1992; 1(2):19-22.
- Dykes P, Heggie R & Hill S. Effects of adhesive dressings on the stratum corneum of the skin. Journal of Wound Care 2001; 10(2):7-13.

- 54. Dealy C. Common problems in wound care: caring for the skin around wounds. British Journal of Nursing 1995; 4(1):43.
- 55. Gould D. Wound management and pain control. Nursing Standard 1999; 14(6):47-54.
- 56. Campbell J. The path of the product. Primary Intention 1998; 6(2):64-7.
- 57. Newton-Howes P, Dobbs B & Frizelle F. Informed consent: What do patients want to know? New Zealand Medical Journal 11 Sept 1998; 111(1073):340-2.
- Gore D. Ethical, professional, and legal obligations in clinical practice: A series of discussion topics for postgraduate medical education – Informed consent. Postgraduate Medical Journal 2001; 77:238-9.
- Dean E, Turner S, Cash J & Winterbottom P. Assessing the capacity to give consent. Nursing Times 1998; 94(40):7-13.
- 60. Runeson I, Elander G, Hermeren G & Kristensson-Hallstrom I. Children's consent to treatment: Using a scale to assess degree of self-determination. Pediatric Nursing 2000; 26(5):455-8.
- 61. McParland J, Scott P, Dassen T, Gasull M, Lemonidou C, Valimaki M & Leino-Kilpi H. Professional Issues. Autonomy and clinical practice 3: Issues of patient consent. British Journal of Nursing 2000; 9(10):660-5.
- Sharpe K & Baxter H. Obtaining consent in wound care: What are the key issues? Journal of Wound Care 2002; 11(1):10-12.
- 63. Murphy R. Legal and practical impact of clinical practice guidelines on nursing and medical practice. Advances in Wound Care 1996; 9(5); 31-4.
- 64. Sibbald R & Rath D. Effective adult education principles to improve outcomes in patients with chronic wounds. In: Krasner D, Rodeheaver G, Sibbald R. (Eds). Chronic Wound Care: A Clinical Source Book for Healthcare Professionals (3rd Ed). Wayne, PA: HMP Communications, 2001:25-33.
- 65. Erwin-Toth P & Stenger B. Teaching wound care to patients, families, and healthcare providers. In: Krasner D, Rodeheaver G, Sibbald R. (Eds). Chronic Wound Care: A Clinical Source Book for Healthcare Professionals (3rd Ed). Wayne, PA: HMP Communications, 2001:35-41.
- Bovill E, Gillespie P & Banwell P. Accessing wound-care information on the internet: The implications for patients. Journal of Wound Care 2001; 10(2):23-6.
- Edwards L, Moffatt C & Franks P. An exploration of patients' understanding of leg ulceration. Journal of Wound Care 2002; 11(1):35-39.
- 68. King B. Assessing nurses' knowledge of wound management. Journal of Wound Care 2000; 9(7):343-346.
- 69. Jones J & Nelson E. Evaluation of an education package in leg ulcer management. Journal of Wound Care 1997; 6(7):342-3.
- Bryant R. Principles for practice development. In: Bryant R. (Ed). Acute & Chronic Wounds. St Louis: Mosby, 2000:455-70.
- Baranoski S & Brenczewski M. Program development: A blueprint for success. In: Krasner D, Rodeheaver G, Sibbald R. (Eds). Chronic Wound Care: A Clinical Source Book for Healthcare Professionals (3rd Ed). Wayne, PA: HMP Communications, 2001:43-50.
- Belcher A & Sibbald G. Mentoring: The ultimate professional relationship. In: Krasner D, Rodeheaver G, Sibbald R. (Eds). Chronic Wound Care: A Clinical Source Book for Healthcare Professionals (3rd Ed). Wayne, PA: HMP Communications, 2001:233-41.
- 73. Baxter R & Baxter H. Evidence-based practice. Journal of Wound Care 2002; 11(1):7-9.
- 74. Ottenbacker K & Hinderer S. Evidence-based practice methods to evaluate individual patient improvement. American Journal of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation 2001; 80(10):786-96.
- 75. National Health and Medical Research Council. http://www.health.gov.au/nhmrc/publications/cphome.htm http://www.health.gov.au/nhmrc/publications/cphome.htm http://www.health.gov.au/nhmrc/publications/cphome.htm http://www.health.gov.au/nhmrc/publications/cphome.htm http://www.health.gov.au/nhmrc/publications/cphome.htm http://www.health.gov.au/nhmrc/publications/cphome.htm
- Baronoski S, Reil L, Vogt N, McIntosh A, Raher E, Weaver M & Rosenzweig M. Nursing and industry: A time for collaboration. Advances in Wound Care 1995; 8(2):46-52.
- 77. Baxter H. Understanding research: 3 Critiquing findings and conclusions. Journal of Wound Care 2001; 10(9):376-9.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Bale S. A guide to wound debridement. Journal of Wound Care 1997; 6:179-182.

- Barr J. Physiology of healing: the basis for the principles of wound management. Medsurg Nursing 1995; 4:387.
- Baranoski.S. Collaborative roles lead to success in wound healing. Decubitis 1992; 5(3) 66-68.
- Beilin B *et al.* Effects of mild perioperative hypothermia on cellular immune responses. Anesthesiology 1998; 89(5):1133.
- Benbow M. Mixing and matching dressing products. Nursing Standard 2000; 14:56-62.
- Bolton L, Monte K & Pirone L. Moisture and healing: beyond the jargon. Ostomy/Wound Management 2000; 46(Suppl 1A):51-64.
- Briggs M & Nelson E. Topical agents or dressings for pain in venous leg ulcers. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews 2000.
- Bryant R (Ed). Acute & Chronic Wounds: Nursing Management (2nd Ed) St. Louis: Mosby, 2000.
- Collier M & Hollinworth H. Pain and tissue trauma during dressing change. Nursing Standard 2000; 14:71-73.
- Corser W. Conceptual model of collaborative nurse-physician interactions: The management of traditional influences and personal tendencies. Scholarly Inquiry for Nursing Practice: An International Journal 1998; 12 (4), 325-341.
- Cutting K. Identification of infection in granulating wounds by registered Nurses. Journal of Clinical Nursing 1998; 7:539-46.
- Davey L, Solomon J M & Freeborn S.F, A multidisciplinary approach to wound care journal of wound care 1994; 3(5), 249-252.
- Dealey C. The Care of Wounds: A Guide for Nurses (2nd Ed). London: Blackwell Science Ltd, 1999.
- Franks Y. Health alliances in wound care 1999; 8(1), 13-17.
- Gould D. Wound management and pain control. Nursing Standard 1999; 14:47-54.
- Herbert L. Caring for the Vascular Patient. New York: Churchill Livingstone Inc, 1997.
- Inlow S, Orsted H & Sibbald RG. Best practices for the prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of diabetic foot ulcers. Ostomy/Wound Management 2000; 46:55-70.
- Jones M & Thomas S. Wound cleansing- a therapy revisited. Journal of Tissue Viability 1997; 7:119-121.
- Joanna Briggs Institute for Evidence Based Nursing & Midwifery. Clinical procedure sample: wound dressing 2001. http://www.joannabriggs.edu.au/procmana.html.
- Joanna Briggs Institute for Evidence Based Nursing & Midwifery. Clinical procedure sample: wound care 1997. http://www.joannabriggs.edu.au/procmana.html
- Kennedy C & Arundel D. District nurses' knowledge and practice of wound assessment: 2. British Journal of Nursing 1998; 7(8), 481-6.
- Kurz A, Sessler D & Lenhadt R. Perioperative normothermia to reduce the incidence of surgical wound infection and shorten hospitalization. New England Journal of Medicine 1996; 334:209.
- Leaper D, Harding K. Wounds biology and management. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1998.
- Morison M & Moffatt M. A Colour Guide to the Assessment and Management of Leg Ulcers (2nd Ed). London: Times Mirror International Publishers Ltd, 1994.
- Mosher B, Cuddigan J, Thomas D & Boudreau M. Outcomes of 4 methods of debridement using a decision analysis methodology. Advances in Wound Care 1999; 12:81-88.
- Myers J. Modern plastic surgical dressings. Health and Social Service Journal 1982; 92:336-337.
- Plassmann P. Measuring wounds. Journal of Wound Care 1995; 4(6):269-272.
- Popvich D, Alexander D, Nichols A & Gaskin D. Analysis of strike-through contamination in saturated sterile dressings. Clinical Nursing Research 1995; 4:195-207.
- Robson M, Mannari R, Smith P & Payne W. Maintenance of Wound Bacterial Balance. The American Journal of Surgery 1999; 178:399-402.
- Russell L. The importance of wound documentation and classification. British Journal of Nursing 1999; 8(20):1342-54.

- Sibbald R, Williamson D, Orsted H, Campbell K, Keast D, Krasner D & Sibbald D. Preparing the wound beddebridement, bacterial balance, and moisture balance. Ostomy/Wound Management 2000; 46:14-28.
- Singhal B, Ernane R & Morris K. Options for nonsurgical debridement of necrotic wounds. Advances in Skin & Wound Care 2001;14:96-103.
- Steed D, Donohoe D, Webster M & Lindsley L. Effect of extensive debridement and treatment on the healing of the diabetic foot. Journal of American College of Surgeons 1996; 183:61.

Stotts NA & Cavanaugh CE. Assessing the patient with a wound. Home Healthcare Nurse 1999; 17(1), 27-35.

- Tallman P, Muscare F, Carson P, Eaglstein W & Falanga V. Initial rate of healing predicts complete ulcer healing of venous ulcers. Archives of Dermatology 1997; 133:1231.
- Wells N, Johnson R & Salyer S. Interdisciplinary collaboration. Clinical Nurse Specialist 1998; 12(4):161-168.